

REGULARITY OF THE SEMI-GROUP OF REGULAR PROBABILITY MEASURES ON COMPACT HAUSDORFF TOPOLOGICAL GROUPS

M. N. N. NAMBOODIRI

ABSTRACT. There are many deep results on the structure of *REGULAR* probability measures $P(G)$ on compact/locally compact, Hausdorff topological groups G . See, for instance, the classic monographs by KR Parthasarathy [15], Ulf Grenander [6] A.Mukherjea and Nicolas A.Tserpes [13]. It is known that the set $P(G)$ forms a semi-group under convolution.

Wendel in his remarkable paper [16] proved a basic result regarding support of convolution of two probability measures. Consequently, he established that the semi-group $P(G)$ is not a group. In this short paper, it is proved that for a compact topological group G , the semi-group $P(G)$ of probability measures is not **algebraically regular**. However, there are concrete regular semi-groups in which $P(G)$ can be embedded.

1. INTRODUCTION

As mentioned in the abstract, it is well known that the set $P(G)$ of *REGULAR* probability measures on a topological group G is a semi-group under convolution, which is abelian if and only if the group G is abelian. It is also known that $P(G)$ is a compact convex set under the weak* topology of measures. Wendel [16] established many significant results regarding the algebraic, topological as well as geometric structure of $P(G)$. He showed that $P(G)$ is a closed convex semi-group which is not a group except for trivial groups G by showing that the only invertible elements are point mass measures supported on single elements.

The problem we consider is the **regularity** of $P(G)$. A semigroup is called **regular** if each of its element has a generalised inverse.

The main theorem proved in this article is Theorem 3.3, which states that $P(G)$ is not a regular semi-group unless, of course, for the trivial case $G = \{e\}$. In section 4, the embedding of this semi-group into a regular one is considered. In the concluding section 5, several related problems are given, such as the optimality of this embedding. However, a possible groundwork is prepared using the already existing theory of non-commutative Fourier transform of measures in $P(G)$ for the special case where G is a compact Lie group [1].

2. PRILIMINARIES

Let G be a compact, Hausdorff topological group and \mathcal{B} denote the σ -algebra of all Borel sets in G . A probability measure μ is a nonnegative countably additive function on \mathcal{B} such that the total mass $\mu(G) = 1$. A point mass measure or Dirac delta measure is a measure μ for which there is an element $x \in G$ such that $\mu(A) = 1$ if $x \in A$ and zero otherwise; $A \in \mathcal{B}$. Such a measure is usually denoted as δ_x . One of the interesting results of Wendel is that

Date: April 20, 2022.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46L07; Secondary 46L52.

Key words and phrases. Measures, Semigroup, Convolution.

the only invertible elements in $P(G)$ are Dirac delta measures. The product in $P(G)$ is the convolution \star which is defined as follows;

Definition 2.1. (Convolution) Let $\mu, \nu \in P(G)$. Then $\mu \star \nu$ is the probability measure defined as $\mu \star \nu(A) = \int \mu(Ax^{-1})d\nu(x)$ for every $A \in \mathcal{B}$.

Definition 2.2. (Generalised inverse) Let \mathcal{S} be a semigroup and let $s \in \mathcal{S}$. An element $s^\dagger \in \mathcal{S}$ is called a generalised inverse of s if $ss^\dagger s = s$.

For example, it is well known that the set $M_N(\mathbb{C})$ of all complex matrices of finite order N is a regular semi-group. The property regularity is almost essential in the fundamental characterization theorems of KSS Nambooripad [14]. In this short note, we do not analyze the implications and consequences of Nambooripad's theory in this concrete semi-group which is postponed to a different project altogether.

3. REGULARITY QUESTION

To avoid confusion we will adopt the following convention. For a measure μ , the usual regularity in the measure theoretic sense will be addressed as **REGULAR** and the algebraic regularity will be addressed as "regular".

For a compact topological group G , J.G. Wendel [16] proved that the set $P(G)$ is a semi-group which is not a group under convolution by proving that the only invertible elements in $P(G)$ are Dirac delta measures. One crucial property needed for measures under consideration is the **regularity** which is not guaranteed for compact topological groups. Next, we quote a basic theorem due to Wendel.

Definition 3.1. (Support) Support of $\mu \in P(G)$ is defined as $supp(\mu) = \{g \in G : \mu(E_g) > 0 \text{ for every neighbourhood } E_g \text{ of } g \in G\}$.

Theorem 3.2 (Wendel). Let A and B be supports of two measures μ and ν in $P(G)$. Then $supp(\mu \star \nu) = AB = \{xy | x \in A, y \in B\}$

Now we prove the main theorem of this short research article.

Theorem 3.3. Let G be a nontrivial compact topological group. Then $P(G)$ is not regular.

Proof. First we prove the assertion for the special case for which group G is such that $a^2 \neq e$ for some $a \in G$. Let $a \in G$ be such that $a^2 \neq e$. Consider the probability measure $\mu = \frac{\delta_e + \delta_a}{2}$ where δ_x is the Dirac delta measure at x for each $x \in G$. We show that μ does not have a generalised inverse. Let if possible a generalised inverse μ^\dagger of μ exist. Therefore we have

$$(3.1) \quad \mu \star \mu^\dagger \star \mu = \mu.$$

and $\mu \star \mu^\dagger$ is an idempotent. Clearly $supp(\mu) = \{e, a\}$ and $H = supp(\mu \star \mu^\dagger)$ is a compact subgroup of G by Theorem 1 in [1]. Now combining Wendel's theorem and equation 3.1 we find that

$$(3.2) \quad H \cdot \{e, a\} = \{e, a\}$$

Let $h \in H$ and the equation 3.2 above implies that

$$(3.3) \quad h \cdot \{e, a\} \subset \{e, a\} \Rightarrow he = e \text{ or } he = a \text{ and } ha = e \text{ or } ha = a.$$

Now $he = e \Rightarrow h = e$ or $h = a$. Again $ha = e \Rightarrow h = a^{-1}$ or $ha = a \Rightarrow h = e$.

Thus to summarise we get $h = e$ or $h = a^{-1}$. Thus the possibilities are $h = e$ for all h , $\{h = e, h = a^{-1}\}$, $\{h = e, h = a\}$. Thus we get $H = \{e\}$ or $H = \{e, a\}$ or $H = \{e, a^{-1}\}$. Now H is a group. The second and third option would imply that $a^2 = e$ which is against the hypothesis. Therefore we have $H = \{e\}$. Now $\mu \star \mu^\dagger$ is a projection and therefore we get $\mu \star \mu^\dagger = \delta_e$, which is the identity. Thus μ is right invertible. Let $\text{supp}(\mu^\dagger) = F$. Observe that $\text{supp}(\mu \star \mu^\dagger) = \{e\}$. Therefore we have $\{e, a\} \cdot F = \{e\}$. Let $f \in F$. Then $e \cdot f = f = e$ and $a \cdot f = e \Rightarrow a = e$, which is again not possible. All these absurd conclusions are consequence of the assumption that μ is regular.

Now let G be such that $a^2 = e$ for every $a \in G$. Let $a \neq e$. Consider $\mu = \alpha_0 \delta_e + \alpha_1 \delta_a$, where $0 \leq \alpha_0, \alpha_1 \leq 1, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 = 1$. Then we have $\mu \in P(G)$ and $\text{supp}(\mu) = \{e, a\}$. First we show that μ is an idempotent if and only if $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2}$.

Observe that $\mu^2 = (\alpha_0^2 + \alpha_1^2) \delta_e + 2\alpha_0 \alpha_1 \delta_a$. Therefore $\mu^2 = \mu$ if and only if $\alpha_0^2 + \alpha_1^2 = \alpha_0$ and $2\alpha_0 \alpha_1 = \alpha_1$, if and only if $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2}$. Now, let if possible, μ for which $\alpha_0 \neq \frac{1}{2}$ has a generalised inverse μ^\dagger . It is an easy consequence of Wendel's support theorem that $\mu^\dagger = \beta_0 \delta_e + \beta_1 \delta_a$ where $0 \leq \beta_0, \beta_1 \leq 1, \beta_0 + \beta_1 = 1$; the proof is as follows. Let $H = \text{supp}(\mu^\dagger)$. We have by Wendel's theorem

$$(3.4) \quad \{e, a\} \cdot H \cdot \{e, a\} = \{e, a\}$$

Let $h \in H$. Then $h \in \{e, a\}$. Thus $\text{supp}(\mu^\dagger) \subseteq \{e, a\}$.

Now we have that $\mu \star \mu^\dagger$ is an idempotent. But an easy computation shows that

$$(3.5) \quad \mu \star \mu^\dagger = (\alpha_0 \beta_0 + \alpha_1 \beta_1) \delta_e + (\alpha_0 \beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_0) \delta_a$$

Therefore we must have $(\alpha_0 \beta_0 + \alpha_1 \beta_1) = \frac{1}{2} = (\alpha_0 \beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_0)$. Solving the above linear equations we obtain $\alpha_0 = \frac{1}{2} = \alpha_1$ provided $\beta_0 \neq \beta_1$. Now assume that $\beta_0 = \beta_1$. This means that $\beta_k = \frac{1}{2}$ for all k . Now we use the full force of generalised inverse as follows. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & [(\alpha_0 \beta_0 + \alpha_1 \beta_1) \delta_e + (\alpha_0 \beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_0) \delta_a] \times (\alpha_0 \delta_e + \alpha_1 \delta_a) = \alpha_0 \delta_e + \alpha_1 \delta_a \\ & \Rightarrow \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1}{2} = \alpha_0 \Rightarrow \alpha_0 = \frac{1}{2} = \alpha_1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for $\alpha_k \neq \frac{1}{2}, 0 \leq \alpha_0, \alpha_1 \leq 1, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 = 1$ $\alpha_0 \delta_e + \alpha_1 \delta_a$ will not be regular. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.4. The above regularity problem was stated and left open in [12]. Wendel proved that the only invertible elements are Dirac delta measures at various points. The problem of characterizing regular elements of $P(G)$ seems interesting. We do not address this problem here. Observe that towards the end of the proof of the above theorem we actually solved this question for a very special case for which $G = \{e, a\}$. In fact we prove that the only regular elements of $P(G)$ are $\{\delta_e, \delta_a, \frac{\delta_e + \delta_a}{2}\}$.

Remark 3.5. The set $P(G)$ is a closed convex set under weak* topology of measures and $\{\delta_g : g \in G\}$ is the set of extreme points of $P(G)$. Hence by Krein-Millmann theorem, the closed convex hull $\overline{\text{conv}}\{\delta_g : g \in G\} = P(G)$. In particular, if one consider the subsemi-group $\text{conv}\{\delta_g : g \in G\}$, it may be possible to locate all regular elements in it geometrically. This possibility is under investigation.

4. EMBEDDING $P(G)$ IN REGULAR SEMIGROUPS

Our next goal is to embed $P(G)$ in larger semigroups in an optimal way. To do this we use non-commutative Fourier transform techniques.

4.1. Non-Commutative Fourier Transforms. Recall that for a locally compact topological group G , \widehat{G} will denote the unitary dual space of G . More explicitly

$$(4.1) \quad \widehat{G} = \{(\pi, H_\pi)\}$$

where $\pi : G \rightarrow B(H_\pi)$, π is unitary, irreducible representation of G on a complex separable Hilbert space H_π with the identification by unitary equivalence of representations. It is also well-known that when G is compact, then each H_π is finite dimensional. That means the dimension d_π of H_π is finite and $d_\pi = 1$ if G is abelian. The Fourier transform of a $\mu \in P(G)$ is defined as a function on $\widehat{G} : \widehat{\mu} : \widehat{G} \rightarrow B(H_\pi)$ defined by

$$(4.2) \quad \widehat{\mu}(\pi)\psi = \int_G \pi(g^{-1})\psi\mu(dg)$$

$\pi \in \widehat{G}$. For a compact, Hausdorff group G let $\mathcal{M} = \cup_{d_\pi} M_{d_\pi}(\mathbb{C})$.

A map $\Phi : \widehat{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\widehat{G})$ is called **Compatible** if for each $\pi \in \widehat{G}$, $\Phi(\pi) \in M_{d_\pi}(\mathbb{C})$. Here $M_{d_\pi}(\mathbb{C})$ denotes the set of all $d_\pi \times d_\pi$ complex matrices after identifying with $B(H_\pi)$ for each π .

Recall that the set $\widetilde{S}(G) = \{\gamma : \Sigma \rightarrow \cup_\pi M_{d_\pi}(\mathbb{C})\}$ is a regular semigroup.

- [1] The problem under investigation is the regularity of the following semi-groups and finding the maximal regular subsemigroup of $\widehat{P(\widehat{G})}$.
- [2] The regularity of the associated semi group $\widetilde{S}(G)$.
- [3] The regularity of the semigroup $\Delta(G)$. Observe that these semigroups are related as follows.

$$\widehat{P(G)} \subset \Delta(G) \subset \widetilde{S}(G).$$

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a compact topological group Then $\widetilde{S}(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$ are regular semigroups.*

Proof. It is well known that $\widetilde{S}(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$ are semi-groups. In either case regularity is easy to establish, as shown below. Let $\gamma \in \widetilde{S}(G)$ (or $\Delta(G)$). For each $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ let $\gamma^\dagger(\pi)$ be the Moore-Penrose inverse of $\gamma(\pi)$. Clearly $\gamma^\dagger(\pi) \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{G})$. If $\gamma \in \Delta(G)$ so is γ^\dagger . \square

5. MINIMAL REGULAR SEMIGROUPS CONTAINING $P(G)$

Next we consider the problem whether there are regular semigroups $\widetilde{\Delta(G)}$ such that

$$(5.1) \quad \widehat{P(G)} \subset \widetilde{\Delta(G)} \subset \Delta(G).$$

We restrict our attention to **compact Lie groups** G where new techniques such as **Log-Ng positivity** [1] are available which is defined as follows:

Definition 5.1. A compatible function $\gamma : \widehat{G} \rightarrow M$ is called **Lo-Ng positive** if

$$(5.2) \quad \sum_{\pi \in \Omega} d_\pi \text{tr}(\pi(g)\gamma(\pi)B(\pi)) \geq 0$$

whenever

$$(5.3) \quad \sum_{\pi \in \Omega} d_\pi \text{tr}(\pi(g)B(\pi)) \geq 0$$

for all $g \in G$.

Theorem 5.2. (Theorem 4.3.2, The Lo-Ng Criterion[1]) Let $P(G)$ denote the class of regular probability measures on a compact Lie group G and $\gamma : G \rightarrow \mathbf{M}(G)$ be a comptible mapping. Then $\gamma = \hat{\mu}$ if and only if γ is Lo-Ng positive namely

$$(5.4) \quad h_n(g) = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} z_\pi^{(n)} d_\pi \text{tr}(\pi(g)\gamma(\pi)) \geq 0$$

for all $g \in G$, where $\#(S_m), \#(S_n) < \infty$ if $m < n$ and $\pi_0 \in S_n$ for all n .

Remark 5.3. The above theorem is a non-commutative analogue of the celebrated Bochner's theorem: Let G be a locally compact abelian group and \hat{G} be the dual group of characters. Let $F : \hat{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then F is the Fourier transform of a measure μ ,

$$\hat{\mu}(\chi) = \int_G \chi(\bar{g})\mu(dg)F(x_i - x_j) \geq 0 \text{ if and only if } F(\hat{e}) = 1, F \text{ is continuous at } \hat{e}.$$

$$\hat{\mu}(\pi)\psi = \int_G \pi(g^{-1})\psi\mu(dg), \pi \in \hat{G}.$$

6. A FEW MORE RELATED QUESTIONS

Let $\Omega(G)$ be the semi-group of all finite products of idempotents in $P(G)$. There are two questions associated with this.

- [1] Is $\Omega(G)$ regular?. If so
- [2] Is $\Omega(G)$ the maximal regular semigroup contained in $P(G)$?.
- [3] What are the regular elements in $P(G)$?

Acknowledgement: The author is thankful to KSCSTE, Government Of Kerala, for financial support by awarding Emeritus Scientist Fellowship, during which a major part of this work was done. Also, a part of this research work was presented at the international conference, ICSAOT-22, 28-31, March 2022, held at the Department Of Mathematics, CUSAT, in honour of Prof. P.G. Romeo.

REFERENCES

1. David Applebaum, *Probability on compact Lie groups*, Probability Theory and Stochastic Modelling, vol. 70, Springer, Cham, 2014, With a foreword by Herbert Heyer. MR 3243650
2. László Babai and Lajos Rónyai, *Computing irreducible representations of finite groups*, Math. Comp. **55** (1990), no. 192, 705–722. MR 1035925
3. Alessandro Figà-Talamanca and J. F. Price, *Applications of random Fourier series over compact groups to Fourier multipliers*, Pacific J. Math. **43** (1972), 531–541. MR 318784
4. Ulf Grenander, *Stochastic groups*, Ark. Mat. **4** (1961), 163–183, 189–207, 333–345 (1961). MR 143239
5. ———, *Stochastic groups and related structures*, Proc. 4th Berkeley Sympos. Math. Statist. and Prob., Vol. II, Univ. California Press, Berkeley, Calif., 1961, pp. 171–184. MR 0148104
6. ———, *Probabilities on algebraic structures*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York-London; Almqvist & Wiksell, Stockholm-Göteborg-Uppsala, 1963. MR 0206994
7. Herbert Heyer (ed.), *Probability measures on groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 706, Springer, Berlin, 1979. MR 536968
8. Göran Högnäs and Arunava Mukherjea, *Probability measures on semigroups*, second ed., Probability and its Applications (New York), Springer, New York, 2011, Convolution products, random walks, and random matrices. MR 2743117
9. Ying-Fen Lin, *The C^* -algebra of a locally compact group*, Serdica Math. J. **41** (2015), no. 1, 1–12. MR 3362611
10. R. M. Loynes, *Fourier transforms and probability theory on a noncommutative locally compact topological group*, Ark. Mat. **5** (1963), 37–42 (1963). MR 158026

11. George W. Mackey, *Unitary group representations in physics, probability, and number theory*, Mathematics Lecture Note Series, vol. 55, Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass., 1978. MR 515581
12. Meerasaraswathy, *A study on properties of probability measures on metric spaces*, MPhil Dissertation, Department Of Mathematics, CUSAT, 2018-2019.
13. Arunava Mukherjea and Nicolas A. Tserpes, *Measures on topological semigroups: convolution products and random walks*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 547, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976. MR 0467871
14. K. S. S. Nambooripad, *Structure of regular semigroups. I*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (1979), no. 224, vii+119. MR 546362
15. K. R. Parthasarathy, *Probability measures on metric spaces*, Probability and Mathematical Statistics, No. 3, Academic Press, Inc., New York-London, 1967. MR 0226684
16. J. G. Wendel, *Haar measure and the semigroup of measures on a compact group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1954), 923–929. MR 67904

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COCHIN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY, KOCHI, KERALA, INDIA-682022

Email address: mnnadri@gmail.com